enander fan werdete weis het de de staat in de geledere de de staat werde stel personalogien op de seen de staa De staat werde van minister en og nitte modere staat op de staat de stoe in de staat op de staat de staat de st Personale de staat werde staat de staat

A NEW ATTEMPT AT THE ESTIMATION FOR THE NOISE LEVEL PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTION BASED ON SPECIFIC L_x NOISE EVALUATION INDICES

with abe nucerical rections, the basic macameters of time recordies

M. OHTA, Y. MITANI

Faculty of Engineering, Hiroshima University Shitami, Saijo-cho, Higashi-hiroshima City, 724 Japan

In this paper, a new attempt at the estimation for the noise level probability distribution is proposed based on the known values of several specific L_x noise evaluation indices. Here, the effect of the restricted amplitude fluctuation range matched to the actual stochastic phenomenon on the estimation accuracy is reasonably taken into consideration. The effectiveness of the proposed method is experimentally confirmed by applying it to the actually measured road traffic noise data.

1. Introduction

It is well-known that the actual environmental stochastic noises exhibit various types of probability distributions, due to the diversified causes of the noise fluctuations. Therefore, their stochastic property shows very often the arbitrary non-Gaussian distribution forms. Of course, every noise evaluation index for these stochastic phenomena can be extracted along to its definition as one of the representative values, by grasping precisely the population probability distribution of the original stochastic phenomenon. From this point of view, many researchers already found various types of important relations among more than two noise evaluation indices in close connection with the above population probability distribution [1-4]. In the authors' previous studies [5, 6], a general theory for estimating the original noise level probability distribution as the above population one has been proposed in a generalized form by employing the known values of Leq and several specific L_x levels. In this paper, in a similar way, a new attempt at the estimation for the original population distribution for the noise level fluctuation is considered based on several known specific values of only L_x noise evaluation indices. Here, the measured levels fluctuate only in a restricted amplitude fluctuation range. Thus, in the theoretical consideration, the effect of this restricted amplitude fluctuation range on the estimation accuracy is theoretically taken into consideration in comparison with the ordinary method having no effect of the above amplitude fluctuation range (i.e., its amplitude fluctuation range is within $(-\infty, \infty)$).

Finally, the effectiveness of the proposed method has been experimentally confirmed by applying it to the actually measured road traffic noise data.

4. Storing the data as **2. Theoretical consideration** S. Reading the data from the disk files the

2.1 Estimation method with no restriction of amplitude fluctuation range

Let us consider the noise level fluctuation, L, with no restriction of an amplitude fluctuation range. In this case, a statistical Hermite series expansion type expression for the cumulative distribution function of the noise level fluctuating within $(-\infty, \infty)$ can be introduced at the starting point of the analysis, as follows [7]:

$$Q(L) = \int_{-\infty}^{L} N(\xi; \mu, \sigma^2) d\xi - \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} A_n \sigma N(L; \mu, \sigma^2) H_{n-1}\left(\frac{L-\mu}{\sigma}\right)$$

with property of proponderskie

$$\mu \stackrel{a}{=} \langle L \rangle, \, \sigma^2 \stackrel{a}{=} \langle (L-\mu)^2 \rangle, \, A_n \stackrel{a}{=} \frac{1}{n!} \left\langle H_n \left(\frac{L-\mu}{\sigma} \right) \right\rangle$$

and sarrest dafay styles when obset veil that the scatter of data points was very large

$$N(\xi; \mu, \sigma^2) \stackrel{4}{=} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp[(\xi - \mu)^2 / 2\sigma^2], \tag{1}$$

where $\langle * \rangle$ denotes an averaging operation with respect to * and $H_n(.)$ denotes the *n*th order Hermite polynomial. Based on the definition of L_x noise evaluation indices, the following relationship can be derived from Eq. (1):

$$1 - \frac{x}{100} = \int_{-\infty}^{L_x} N(\xi; \mu, \sigma^2) d\xi - \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} A_n \sigma N(L_x; \mu, \sigma^2) H_{n-1}\left(\frac{L_x - \mu}{\sigma}\right).$$
(2)

Thus, after employing several specific L_x levels to the above relationship, one can construct the N-dimensional simultaneous equations for the coupling coefficient A_n (n = 3, 4, ..., N-2). After solving these equations, one can evaluate the objective noise level probability distribution Q(L) by substituting the mean value μ , the variance σ^2 and the estimated expansion coefficient A_n into Eq. (1).

In this case, the specific formulae for estimating A_n are explicitly expressed as follows:

(1) Case for A_3 with use of one reference level L_{x1} :

A this restricted amplitude

$${}_{3} = \frac{\int\limits_{-\infty}^{L_{x1}} N(\xi; \mu, \sigma^{2}) d\xi + \frac{x_{1}}{100} - 1}{\sigma N(L_{x1}; \mu, \sigma^{2}) H_{2} \left(\frac{L_{x1} - \mu}{\sigma}\right)}.$$

) Case for
$$A_3$$
 and A_4 with use of two reference levels L_{x1} and L_{x2} :

$$A_3 = \frac{\left[\int_{-\infty}^{L_{x2}} N(\xi; \mu, \sigma^2) d\xi + \frac{x_2}{100} - 1\right] F_2(L_{x1}; \mu, \sigma^2) - \left[\int_{-\infty}^{L_{x1}} N(\xi; \mu, \sigma^2) d\xi + \frac{x_1}{100} - 1\right] F_2(L_{x2}; \mu, \sigma^2)}{\sigma F_1(L_{x2}; \mu, \sigma^2) F_2(L_{x1}; \mu, \sigma^2) - \sigma F_1(L_{x1}; \mu, \sigma^2) F_2(L_{x2}; \mu, \sigma^2)}, \quad (4)$$

$$A_4 = \frac{\left[\int_{-\infty}^{L_{x1}} N(\xi; \mu, \sigma^2) d\xi + \frac{x_1}{100} - 1\right] F_1(L_{x2}; \mu, \sigma^2) - \left[\int_{-\infty}^{L_{x2}} N(\xi; \mu, \sigma^2) d\xi + \frac{x_2}{100} - 1\right] F_1(L_{x1}; \mu, \sigma^2)}{\sigma F_1(L_{x2}; \mu, \sigma^2) F_2(L_{x1}; \mu, \sigma^2) - \sigma F_1(L_{x1}; \mu, \sigma^2) F_2(L_{x2}; \mu, \sigma^2)}$$

with

Man WIRAFE Y

$$F_{1}(\xi; \mu, \sigma^{2}) \stackrel{d}{=} N(\xi; \mu, \sigma^{2}) H_{2}\left(\frac{\xi - \mu}{\sigma}\right),$$
(5)
$$F_{2}(\xi; \mu, \sigma^{2}) \stackrel{d}{=} N(\xi; \mu, \sigma^{2}) H_{3}\left(\frac{\xi - \mu}{\sigma}\right).$$

2.2. Estimation method with restriction of amplitude fluctuation range

1. Invoduction

Let us consider the noise level fluctuation, L, with restriction of an amplitude fluctuation range matched to the actually measured amplitude range of a stochastic phenomenon and a dynamic range of a measurement device. In this case, one can employ a statistical Jacobi series expansion type expression as the cumulative distribution function of the noise level fluctuation, as follows [8]:

$$Q(L) = \int_{a}^{L} \frac{1}{B(\gamma, \alpha - \gamma + 1)(b - a)} \left(\frac{\xi - a}{b - a}\right)^{\gamma - 1} \left(1 - \frac{\xi - a}{b - a}\right)^{\alpha - \gamma} d\xi + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{B_{n}}{B(\gamma, \alpha - \gamma + 1)} \cdot \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{L - a}{b - a}\right)^{\gamma} \left(1 - \frac{L - a}{b - a}\right)^{\alpha - \gamma + 1} G_{n - 1} \left(\alpha + 2, \gamma + 1; \frac{L - a}{b - a}\right)$$

with

and $\alpha \stackrel{a}{=} \frac{(\mu - a)(b - \mu)}{\sigma^2} - 2, \gamma \stackrel{a}{=} \frac{(\alpha + 1)(\mu - a)}{b - a}$ and close to the distributions of other parameters which are recorded as time $B_n \stackrel{a}{=} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)\Gamma(\alpha - \gamma + 1)}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} \cdot \frac{(\alpha + 2n)\Gamma(\alpha + n)\Gamma(\gamma + n)}{\Gamma(\gamma + n)} \left\langle G_n(\alpha, \gamma; \frac{L - a}{L}) \right\rangle_{\gamma}$ (6)

$$B_n = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \frac{1}{n! \Gamma(n+\alpha-\gamma+1)[\Gamma(\gamma)]^2} \left\langle G_n(\alpha, \gamma; \frac{1}{b-a}) \right\rangle, \tag{6}$$

where B(.), $\Gamma(.)$ and $G_n(.)$ denote respectively Beta function, Gamma function and the *n*th order Jacobi's polynomial. It should be noticed that the above formula is a generalized one including the well-known statistical Hermite series expansion type expression (taking a well-known standard Gaussian distribution as the first expansion term) in a special case when $\gamma \to \infty$ ($a = b \to \infty$) (see Ref. [8]). According to the definition of L_x noise evaluation indices, the following relationship can be directly derived from Eq. (6).

$$1 - \frac{x}{100} = \int_{a}^{L_{x}} \frac{1}{B(\gamma, \alpha - \gamma + 1)(b - a)} \left(\frac{\xi - a}{b - a}\right)^{\gamma - 1} \left(1 - \frac{\xi - a}{b - a}\right)^{\alpha - \gamma} d\xi + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{B_{n}}{B(\gamma, \alpha - \gamma + 1)} \cdot \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{L_{x} - a}{b - a}\right)^{\gamma} \left(1 - \frac{L_{x} - \mu}{b - a}\right)^{\alpha - \gamma + 1} G_{n-1} \left(\alpha + 2, \gamma + 1; \frac{L_{x} - a}{b - a}\right).$$
(7)

Therefore, after employing several specific L_x levels to the above relationship, one can obtain the N-dimensional simultaneous equations for the expansion coefficient B_n (n = 3, 4, ..., N-2). The objective noise level probability distribution can be obtained by substituting the estimated B_n and the known values of μ , σ^2 , a and b into Eq. (6).

More explicitly, the explicit formulae for estimating the expansion coefficient B_n can be obtained as follows:

(1) Case for B_3 with use of one reference level L_{x1} :

$$B_{3} = \frac{1 - \frac{x_{1}}{100} - Q_{0}(L_{x1}; \alpha, \gamma, a, b)}{\frac{1}{B(\gamma, \alpha - \gamma + 1)} \cdot \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{L_{x1} - a}{b - a}\right)^{\gamma} \left(1 - \frac{L_{x1} - a}{b - a}\right)^{\alpha - \gamma + 1} G_{2}\left(\alpha + 2, \gamma + 1; \frac{L_{x1} - a}{b - a}\right)},$$
$$Q_{0}(\xi; \alpha, \gamma, a, b) \stackrel{a}{=} \int_{a}^{\xi} \frac{1}{B(\gamma, \alpha - \gamma + 1)(b - a)} \left(\frac{\xi - a}{b - a}\right)^{\gamma - 1} \left(1 - \frac{\xi - a}{b - a}\right)^{\alpha - \gamma} d\xi.$$
(8)

(2) Case for
$$B_3$$
 and B_4 with use of two reference levels L_{x1} and L_{x2} :

$$B_3 = \frac{\left[1 - \frac{x_1}{100} - Q_0(L_{x1}; \alpha, \gamma, a, b)\right] J_2(L_{x2}; \alpha, \gamma, a, b) - \left[1 - \frac{x_2}{100} - Q_0(L_{x2}; \alpha, \gamma, a, b)\right] J_2(L_{x1}; \alpha, \gamma, a, b)}{J_1(L_{x1}; \alpha, \gamma, a, b) J_2(L_{x2}; \alpha, \gamma, a, b) - J_1(L_{x2}; \alpha, \gamma, a, b) J_2(L_{x1}; \alpha, \gamma, a, b)},$$

$$\left[1 - \frac{x_2}{100} - Q_0(L_{x2}; \alpha, \gamma, a, b)\right] J_1(L_{x1}; \alpha, \gamma, a, b) - \left[1 - \frac{x_1}{100} - Q_0(L_{x1}; \alpha, \gamma, a, b)\right] J_1(L_{x2}; \alpha, \gamma, a, b)$$

$$= \frac{100}{J_1(L_{x1}; \alpha, \gamma, a, b)J_2(L_{x2}; \alpha, \gamma, a, b) - J_1(L_{x2}; \alpha, \gamma, a, b)J_2(L_{x1}; \alpha, \gamma, a, b)},$$
(9)

with

 B_4

$$J_{1}(\xi; \alpha, \gamma, a, b) \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle \Delta}{=} \frac{1}{B(\gamma, \alpha - \gamma + 1)} \cdot \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{\xi - a}{b - a}\right)^{\gamma} \left(1 - \frac{\xi - a}{b - a}\right)^{\alpha - \gamma + 1} G_{2}\left(\alpha + 2, \gamma + 1; \frac{\xi - a}{b - a}\right),$$

$$J_{2}(\xi; \alpha, \gamma, a, b) \stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle \Delta}{=} \frac{1}{B(\gamma, \alpha - \gamma + 1)} \cdot \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{\xi - a}{b - a}\right)^{\gamma} \left(1 - \frac{\xi - a}{b - a}\right)^{\alpha - \gamma + 1} G_{3}\left(\alpha + 2, \gamma + 1; \frac{\xi - a}{b - a}\right).$$
(10)

A NEW ATTEMPT ...

3. Experimental consideration

In order to confirm the effectiveness of the proposed method, it has been applied to two kinds of road traffic noise data measured actually in Hiroshima Prefecture. That is, first, the road traffic noise data have been measured in an urban area with a fairly large traffic volume. From the notational viewpoint, the above case is defined here as "Case A". Next, the other road traffic noise data have been measured in a rural area with a small traffic volume. At this time, this case is defined as "Case B". Figure 1 shows the actual situation in Case A of measuring the road traffic noise in an urban area. Similarly, Fig. 2 also shows the actual situation in Case B of measuring the road traffic noise in a rural area.





Chūgoku-Jidōsha - Dō	Set Se	to Osaka
		A
	2.42	

to Fukuoka

FIG. 2. Actual situation of measuring the road traffic noise in a rural area (Case B) observation point 205

Figure 3 shows a comparison between the theoretically estimated curves by use of the proposed method with no restriction of an amplitude fluctuation range and the experimentally sampled points for the cumulative noise level probability distribution with respect to Case A. As shown in this figure, it is obvious that these data exhibit approximately a standard Gaussian distribution corresponding to the first expansion term of Eq.(1). A comparison between the theoretically estimated curves by use of the proposed method with consideration of the restricted fluctuation range and the experimentally sampled points is shown in Fig. 4. From these results, the estimated results due to both methods are in good agreement with the experimental results, because both methods are generally applicable to the case when the objective stochastic phenomenon can be expressed by not only a non-Gaussian distribution but also a standard Gaussian one. In this case, the effect of a constant restriction of an amplitude fluctuation range on the estimation accuracy is not so clear, since the original stochastic phenomenon under consideration can be expressed approximately by a standard Gaussian distribution with an idealized infinite fluctuation range $(-\infty, \infty)$.

With respect to Case B, Fig. 5 shows the estimated results by use of the



FIG. 3. A comparison between the theoretically estimated curves by use of the proposed method with no restriction of amplitude fluctuation range and the experimentally sampled points for the cumulative noise level probability distribution (Case A)

Chem SR





FIG. 6. A comparison between the theoretically estimated curves by use of the proposed method with restriction of amplitude fluctuation range and the experimentally sampled points for the cumulative noise level probability distribution (Case B)

proposed method with no restriction of an amplitude fluctuation range. From this figure, it is not sufficient to evaluate an arbitrary L_x noise evaluation index, although the successive addition of higher order expansion terms moves the theoretically estimated curves closer to the experimentally sampled points. Figure 6 shows the estimated results by use of the proposed method with restriction of an amplitude fluctuation range. As shown obviously in these figures, the estimation accuracy of the method proposed after considering the restricted fluctuation range is much better than that of a simplified estimation method with no such an amplitude restriction. This is because of the reasonable consideration of the restricted amplitude fluctuation range matched to the actual stochastic phenomenon.

4. Conclusion

In this paper, a new attempt at the estimation of the noise level probability distribution has been proposed based on several known values of L_x noise evaluation indices. At this time, the effect of the restricted amplitude fluctuation range matched

to the actual noise level fluctuation on the estimation accuracy has been quantitatively considered. The effectiveness of the proposed method has been experimentally confirmed by applying it to two different kinds of road traffic noise data measured actually. This research is still at an early stage and the work reported here has been focussed on some methodological aspects. So, several problems are left for the future, as follows:

(1) This method must be applied to many other cases to broaden and confirm its practical effectiveness.

(2) The values of mean and variance used in this theory should be estimated by use of the other L_x noise evaluation indices.

(3) It is necessary to find a more practical estimation method through the approximation of this fundamental estimation method.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their hearty thanks to Mr. T. KATAYAMA and Mr. S. KORESAWA for their helpful assistance. The authors additionally acknowledge the constructive discussions in the annual meeting of the Acoustical Society of Japan [9].

References

- [1] U.S. EPA, Information on levels of environmental noise requisite to protect public health and welfare with an adequate margin of safety; Appendix A, 550/9–74–004 (1974).
- [2] M. BURGESS, Relationship between L_{10} and Leq for noise from traffic, Australian Road Research 8, 15–18 (1978).
- [3] N. OLSON, Survey of motor vehicle noise, J. Acoust. Soc. Amer. 52, 1291-1306 (1972).
- [4] C. G. DON and I. G. REES, Road traffic sound level distributions, J. Sound Vib. 100, 41-53 (1985).
- [5] M. OHTA, Y. MITANI and T. SUMIMOTO, A general theory for the mutual relationship among several type noise evaluation indices connected with Leq and L_x and its experiment, J. Acoust. Soc. Jpn. 41, 598–607 (1985) (in Japanese).
- [6] M. OHTA and Y. MITANI, An estimation method for the noise level probability distribution based on two noise evaluation indices, Leq and L_x, Rep. 1988 March Meeting, Acoust. Soc. Jpn. 487–488 (in Japanese).
- [7] M. OHTA and T. KOIZUMI, General statistical treatment of the response of a nonlinear rectifying device to a stationary random input, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory IT-14, 595-598 (1968).
- [8] M. OHTA, A. IKUTA and N. TAKAKI, A stochastic signal processing of incomplete observation data with amplitude limitation and state estimation under the existence of additional noise, Trans. IEICE 71, 8–15 (1988).
- [9] Y. MITANI and M. OHTA, An estimation method for the noise level probability distribution based on L_x noise evaluation indices. Influence of the dynamic range, Rep. 1988 October Meeting, Acoust. Soc. Jpn., 555–556 (in Japanese).