

UNCERTAINTY OF SOUND INSULATION MEASUREMENT IN LABORATORY

Tadeusz WSZOŁEK

AGH University of Science and Technology
Department of Mechanics and Vibroacoustics
Al. Mickiewicza 30, 30-059 Kraków, Poland
e-mail: twszolek@agh.edu.pl

(received July 15, 2007; accepted October 5, 2007)

Protection against noise is one of the six essential requirements of the European Union directive. In buildings airborne sound insulation is used to define the acoustic quality of walls between rooms. However the evaluation of sound insulation /index/ is sometimes difficult or even ambiguous, both in field and laboratory measurements, in spite of the fact that there are some unified measurement procedures specified in the ISO 140 standards. There are problems with the reproducibility and repeatability of the measurement results. Some difficulties may be caused by non-diffuse acoustic fields, non uniform reverberation time or errors of the reverberation time measurements. Some minor problems are also posed by flanking transmission and the S/N ratio. The paper includes an analysis of partial uncertainties of the above mentioned measurement components and their influence on the combined uncertainty in 1/3 octave frequency bands and the sound reduction index determined according to ISO 140-3, using the uncertainty propagation law. All of the analysis and calculations performed in the paper concern the conjugated reverberation rooms and measurement set-up located in AGH-UST Department of Mechanics and Vibroacoustics in Kraków.

Keywords: sound insulation, sound reduction index, uncertainty, reverberation room.

1. Introduction

Protection against noise is one of the six essential requirements that are listed in the EU directives. In buildings the airborne acoustic insulation is used for assessment of the acoustic quality of walls between rooms. However the evaluation of acoustic insulation happens to be difficult, even sometimes ambiguous, not only in the field conditions, but also in the lab, in spite of the fact that there are unified measurement procedures specified in the ISO 140 and ISO 717 standards. Whereas in the field conditions some problems might be encountered with fulfilling all the standard requirements, particularly in assessment of flanking transmission, there should be no such problems, or to a very limited extent, in the laboratory conditions. Still it is not so. There are problems mainly

with reproducibility of the results, what can be confirmed by e.g. the inter-laboratory study results described in papers [1, 2]. The problems with reproducibility are even encountered for different studies of the same laboratory. The origins might lie in the inhomogeneities of the acoustic field in the measuring (source and receiving) rooms, space variation of the reverberation time in the receiving room, and additionally errors of the reverberation time measurements, particularly in the low frequency band [1]. Further factors are the flanking transmission and acoustic background, in particular for high values of the acoustic insulation power. A separate problem is the method of sample fixing in the measurement window. A proper sample sealing, particularly when its edges are not very smooth (as it is for the glass window panes), may be cumbersome, and its effect on the measurement result quite considerable. In the studies of acoustic insulation power carried out in the Dept. of Mechanics and Vibroacoustics in the AGH-UST [5] it has been shown that the measurement error of R_w , related to improper sample sealing may be as high as 3–4 dB, and in individual frequency bands above 1 kHz it may even reach more than 10 dB. However an experienced measurement team can relatively easily notice such an irregularity in the insulating power curve. Therefore in the uncertainty analysis such a case has been excluded, and much weaker version has been accepted as actually possible.

In the present work partial uncertainty analysis has been carried out for all the above mentioned factors and their influence has been evaluated on the combined uncertainty in 1/3 octave bands and the R_w index, using the uncertainty propagation law. Some of the partial uncertainties belong to the type B uncertainties, while remaining ones belong to type A. All of the analysis and calculations concern the acoustic insulating power measurement set-up in the complex of reverberation rooms located in AGH-UST Department of Mechanics and Vibroacoustics in Kraków.

2. Measuring conditions

The studies of acoustic insulating power are carried out in the complex of reverberation rooms located in AGH-UST in Kraków, which is approximately compatible with the requirements imposed on such laboratories in the ISO 140 series of standards. The deviations mainly concern the reverberation time value in the reception chamber and also an atypical size of the measurement window (2000×1000 mm), located between two conjugated reverberation rooms, with working volumes ca. 180 m^3 each. More detailed description of the laboratory can be found in the paper [6].

Various samples are studied in the laboratory – they mostly include integrated window panels, elements of the roadside noise barriers (absorbing or transparent) and the elements of sound-proof casings. With such a sample variety there is actually no universal way for fixing (sealing) the samples in the measurement window. Special requirements imposed on the case of glass panel fixing cannot be directly applied for barriers absorbing sound from the incident side. In practice every barrier is installed automatically, but it is sealed accordingly to each individual case (manually). Such an approach,

exhibiting usually best performance, may be the source of some local “leaks”, manifested by a decrease of the insulating power in the 1 to 2.5 kHz band. Example of such a plot of acoustic insulation as a function of frequency for properly and poorly sealed sample has been shown in Fig. 1.




Fig. 1. Plots of acoustic insulating power in 1/3 octave bands and the R_w sound reduction index, measured with properly and weakly sealed sample.

Measurements of acoustic pressure are performed simultaneously in the emission and reception room, while the reverberation time is measured right after completing the measurement session. All the measurements are performed in 1/3 octave frequency bands in the frequency range from 50 Hz to 5 kHz, in 12 measuring points.

Sound insulation, R of the sample is determined according to the formula:

$$R = L_{p,S} - L_{p,R} + 10 \log \frac{S}{A}, \tag{1}$$

where $L_{p,S}$ is the average sound pressure level in the diffuse sound field of the source room, $L_{p,R}$ is the average sound pressure level in the diffuse field of the receiving room, S is the area of the test sample, A is the absorption area of the receiving room, in this work determined from the reverberation time T_{30} .

Typical plots of averaged acoustic pressure levels in the source and receiving rooms (with the respective standard deviations) and the acoustic background in the receiving room have been shown in Fig. 2, while the reverberation time T_{30} values for the receiving room, also with their standard deviations, have been shown in Fig. 3.

As can be seen from the above figures, both the acoustic pressure levels plots as well as the reverberation time plots are characterized by greater spreads in the low frequency




Fig. 2. Averaged levels of acoustic pressure in the source and reception room and background noise in the reception room.




Fig. 3. Averaged values of reverberation time T30 in the reception room together with the standard deviations.

range, therefore in that area the greatest contributions to the measurement uncertainty should be expected.

3. Analysis of measurement uncertainty

If the measured or predicted noise level depends on many input values then the final result is a function of many arguments [13]:

$$L_{\text{out}} = f(X_{\text{in}1} + X_{\text{in}2} + \dots + X_{\text{in}m}) \quad (2)$$

everyone of which carries some standard uncertainty $U_{(X_{\text{ini}})}$. Combined standard uncertainty $U_{c(L_{\text{in}})}$, under assumption that the individual arguments in formula (2) are independent, can be calculated using the formula (3):

$$u_c(L_{\text{out}}) = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial X_{\text{ini}}} \right)^2 u^2(X_{\text{ini}})}. \quad (3)$$

The uncertainty provided together with the measurement result is a multiplicity of the combined standard uncertainty and is usually called an extended uncertainty.

Formulas (1) and (3) have been used for analysis of sensitivity of the combined uncertainty with respect to its individual components. The partial uncertainties of the measurements of acoustic pressure levels and reverberation times belong to the type A standard uncertainties, while all the other ones belong to the type B (see the respective A and B sublabels in Table 1).

In evaluation of the type B uncertainty for each variable the possible variability range during the measurement duration has been assumed, with additional *a priori* assumption of homogenous distributions of the respective variables. In such a case the standard uncertainty is given as $1/2\sqrt{3}$ of the respective variability range (spread). A similar rule can be accepted when taking the acoustic background into account, however in the example presented above (see Fig. 2) the distance from the background is higher than 30 dB in each frequency band, what in consequence reduces the uncertainty to values below 0.01 dB level. Therefore in the uncertainty budget for the example from Fig. 2 the respective contributions have been neglected. An exemplary uncertainty budget listing has been shown in Table 1. In column 8 of Table 1 the total (combined) uncertainty UC has been given, without taking the flanking sound transmission into account, while in column 10 the total uncertainty UCf has been given with such flanking "leaks" taken into account. As can be seen in the presented example the effect of such a leak on the total uncertainty of the R_w index is rather moderate (0.09 dB), with the index uncertainty value of 0.46 dB, however in some cases (as has been mentioned in the Introduction) it can even reach a value of several dB.

The effect that is not shown in Table 1 is the additional uncertainty resulting from fitting of the actual insulation index R curve to the normalized curve (acc. to PN EN ISO 717-1). This uncertainty was equal to 0.29 dB, and its effect on the total uncertainty of the R_w index was about 0.06 dB.

Table 1. Exemplary listing of the budget of partial uncertainties during evaluation of acoustic insulating power in 1/3 octave bands and relative sound reduction index R_w in laboratory conditions.

Gathered examples of evaluated values of standard uncertainty components in case of sound reduction index measurements in laboratory, dB									
f, Hz	UAL1	UAL2	UART	UBcal	UBMS	UBS	UC	UBf	UCf
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
50	3.0	3.9	0.46	0.2	0.2	0.05	5.00	0	5.00
63	3.2	3.1	0.98	0.2	0.2	0.05	4.61	0	4.61
80	1.5	2.0	0.64	0.2	0.2	0.05	2.61	0	2.61
100	1.1	3.4	0.70	0.2	0.2	0.05	3.69	0	3.69
125	1.2	1.5	0.30	0.2	0.2	0.05	1.95	0	1.95
160	1.2	2.3	0.33	0.2	0.2	0.05	2.59	0	2.59
200	1.0	0.8	0.16	0.2	0.2	0.05	1.33	0	1.33
250	0.9	0.8	0.16	0.2	0.2	0.05	1.22	0	1.22
315	0.6	0.5	0.16	0.2	0.2	0.05	0.88	0	0.88
400	0.8	0.6	0.14	0.2	0.2	0.05	1.06	0	1.06
500	0.5	0.3	0.09	0.2	0.2	0.05	0.68	0	0.68
630	0.5	0.2	0.10	0.2	0.2	0.05	0.63	0.5	0.81
800	0.6	0.3	0.07	0.2	0.2	0.05	0.69	0.5	0.85
1000	0.6	0.4	0.04	0.2	0.2	0.05	0.76	0.7	1.03
1250	0.4	0.5	0.04	0.2	0.2	0.05	0.69	1	1.21
1600	0.3	0.4	0.06	0.2	0.2	0.05	0.57	1.2	1.33
2000	0.5	0.5	0.03	0.2	0.2	0.05	0.77	1.5	1.69
2500	0.5	0.6	0.05	0.2	0.2	0.05	0.86	1.2	1.48
3150	0.5	0.6	0.04	0.2	0.2	0.05	0.77	0.7	1.04
4000	0.6	0.9	0.03	0.2	0.2	0.05	1.15	0.5	1.26
5000	0.6	0.8	0.03	0.2	0.2	0.05	1.04	0	1.04
RW	0.70	0.86	0.15	0.20	0.20	0.05	1.15	0.46	1.24
Average	1.00	1.20	0.24	0.20	0.20	0.05	1.60	0.38	1.65

UAL1, UAL2 - standard uncertainty of sound level measurement in source and receiver room respectively.
 UART - standard uncertainty of reverberation time (RT30) measurement in receiver room
 UBcal - standard uncertainty of calibration of the measurement system
 UBMS - standard uncertainty of the measurement system
 UBS - standard uncertainty of the surface of the sample
 UBf - standard uncertainty of flanking sound
 UC - combine standard uncertainty
 UCf - combine standard uncertainty with flanking uncertainty (Ubf)
 RW - weighted sound reduction index

4. Conclusions

The completed uncertainty analysis for laboratory measurement of acoustic insulating power of barriers has shown that the greatest effect on the final value comes from the inhomogeneity of acoustic fields, both in the source (0.7 dB) and reception (0.86 dB) rooms and from the quality of sample sealing in the measurement window (0.46 dB).

The total measurement uncertainty of the sound reduction index R_w for the case discussed above is equal to 1.15 dB, and with taking into account a possible sound leak the respective uncertainty increases to 1.24 dB. As expected the measurement uncertainty considerably increases in the low frequency range, what is in consequence the source of uncertainty increase for the $R_w(C, C_{tr})$ indices if the frequency band is extended down

to the frequency of 50 Hz (usually the R_w index is determined in the 100–3150 Hz range). The respective uncertainties of $R_w(C, C_{tr})$ determination in the 50–3150 Hz frequency band are given as: homogeneity of acoustic fields – 1 dB in the source room, 1.2 dB in the reception room, what gives the total uncertainty of 1.6 dB. The uncertainty related to the sample sealing is slightly reduced to 0.38 dB.

References

- [1] DAN PEDERSEN B., ROLAND J., RAABE G., MAYSENDOLDER W., *Measurement of the low frequency insulation of building components*, Acta Acustica, **86**, 495–505 (2000).
- [2] NIEMAS M., IŻEWSKA A., *Repeatability and Reproducibility of Sound Reduction Index Measured in Laboratory Conditions*, Managing Uncertainty in Noise Measurement and Prediction – Symposium Le Mans, France, 27-29 June 2005.
- [3] SIMMONS CH., *Uncertainty of Measured and Calculated Sound Insulation in Buildings – Results of a Round Robin Test*, Managing Uncertainty in Noise Measurement and Prediction – Symposium Le Mans, France, 27-29 June 2005.
- [4] EN 20140-2:1993. *Acoustic – Measurement of sound insulation in buildings and building elements – Part 2. Determination, verification and application of precision data*.
- [5] *Study of acoustic insulation in conjugated reverberation rooms* in Dept. of Mechanics and Vibroacoustics AGH-UST in Kraków.
- [6] WSZOŁEK T., PIECHOWICZ J., SIKORA J., *Assessment of usefulness of a conjugated reverberation rooms for the study of acoustic insulation index* [in Polish], Wyd. AGH Kraków, Mechanika, t. 16, z. 4, 529–543 (1997).